

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

Charon J. Harris Director – Policy Matters

GTE Service Corporation

1850 M Street, N.W., Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 463-5200

October 8, 1996

Mr. William F. Caton Acting Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Room 222 Washington, D.C. 20554 OCT - 8 1996

EX PARTE: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket No. 96-45

Dear Mr. Caton:

On October 4, 1996, GTE Telephone Operations sent the attached letters to Joint Board Commissioners Sharon L. Nelson, Julia Johnson, Laska Schoenfelder, and Kenneth McClure. Please associate these letters with the captioned docket.

Please call me if you have any questions regarding this matter.

Sincerely

Charon J. Harris

Attachments

cc: Federal State Joint Board Commissioners and Staff

J. Morabito

Ma of Copies rec'd Od/ List ABODE



GTE Telephone Operations

600 Hidden Ridge, HOE04J17 P.O. Box 152092 Irving, TX 75015-2092 214 718-4935

October 4, 1996

The Honorable Sharon L. Neison Chairman Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission Chandler Plaza Building P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, Washington 98504-7250

Dear Ms. Nelson:

Few Americans know the impact that you and your colleagues will soon have on the price they pay for telephone service, on its quality, and on how soon they may have competitive choices for that service as the Congress intended. As one of the national Joint Board members appointed under the new federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, you will help decide how home telephone service will be kept affordable in a competitive era.

Let's start with a few underiable facts. Today most basic home telephone service is priced below cost. Competitors are rushing in to target the other services that have been priced too high in order to support home phone lines. To minimize their own investments, those competitors are also eager to use the facilities that today's local telephone companies have installed and maintained. The FCC's interconnection order clearly helps competitors do so, but in the process, guts facilities based competition by requiring LECs to sell their network to competitors at rates far below any reasonable estimate of costs. For now, most "competition" will just be companies like AT&T putting their brand name on someone else's service. And you can look far and wide across rural America and never see a new competitor's truck laying wires, unlike major cities, such as Seattle, where they are already tripping over each other to hook up lucrative business customers.

These same competitors want you, the Joint Board Commissioners, to decide not to fund the entire amount of support needed to maintain today's affordable rates to home customers. The reason is that new competitors will have to share in the cost of support, even though they don't plan to build any facilities in places that need support. They prefer to "cherry pick" the customers they serve by purchasing our facilities at below-cost rates, while forcing the incumbent LEC to maintain the network and serve the customers in less desirable locales.

A part of GTE Corporation

The Honorable Sharon L. Nelson October 4, 1996 Page 2

But that's a dangerous scenario because sooner or later rates will be forced to go up sharply, or the incumbent LECs will be unable to continue to provide high-quality service as competitors take away more of the profitable business that has supported this whole system. And the new competitors won't be willing to take any responsibility for that. Indeed, who will give rural America real competitive choices if the price to the customer is far less than cost, and there isn't enough support to make up the difference?

On behalf of GTE, I urge you and your colleagues to make the courageous and responsible choice — to establish a support system that will truly give all customers protection against rate shock, and a chance at real competitive options. Because the politics of telecommunications have become so confrontational, what's put in place now will be hard to fix later. Do it right the first time, and you'll help usher in a new era of which we all can be proud — for all customers, wherever they live.

Sincerely.

Thomas W. White

conwhite

President-GTE Telephone Operations

TVW:lp



GTE Telephone Operations

600 Hidden Ridge, HQE04J17 P.O. Box 152092 Irving, TX 75015-2092 214 718-4935

October 4, 1996

The Honorable Julia Johnson Commissioner Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Gerald Gunter Building Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Dear Ms. Johnson:

Few Americans know the impact that you and your colleagues will soon have on the price they pay for telephone service, on its quality, and on how soon they may have competitive choices for that service as the Congress intended. As one of the national Joint Board members appointed under the new federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, you will help decide how home telephone service will be kept affordable in a competitive era.

Let's start with a few undeniable facts. Today most basic home telephone service is priced below cost. Competitors are rushing in to target the other services that have been priced too high in order to support home phone lines. To minimize their own investments, those competitors are also eager to use the facilities that today's local telephone companies have installed and maintained. The FCC's interconnection order clearly helps competitors do so, but in the process, guts facilities based competition by requiring LECs to sell their network to competitors at rates far below any reasonable estimate of costs. For now, most "competition" will just be companies like AT&T putting their brand name on someone else's service. And you can look far and wide across rural America and never see a new competitor's truck laying wires, unlike major cities, such as Tampa, where they are already tripping over each other to hook up lucrative business customers.

These same competitors want you, the Joint Board Commissioners, to decide not to fund the entire amount of support needed to maintain today's affordable rates to home customers. The reason is that new competitors will have to share in the cost of support, even though they don't plan to build any facilities in places that need support. They prefer to "cherry pick" the customers they serve by purchasing our facilities at below-cost rates, while forcing the incumbent LEC to maintain the network and serve the customers in less desirable locales.

The Honorable Julia Johnson October 4, 1996 Page 2

But that's a dangerous scenario because sooner or later rates will be forced to go up sharply, or the incumbent LECs will be unable to continue to provide high-quality service as competitors take away more of the profitable business that has supported this whole system. And the new competitors won't be willing to take any responsibility for that. Indeed, who will give rural America real competitive choices if the price to the customer is far less than cost, and there isn't enough support to make up the difference?

On behalf of GTE, I urge you and your colleagues to make the courageous and responsible choice — to establish a support system that will truly give all customers protection against rate shock, and a chance at real competitive options. Because the politics of telecommunications have become so confrontational, what's put in place now will be hard to fix later. Do it right the first time, and you'll help usher in a new era of which we all can be proud — for all customers, wherever they live.

Sincerely,

Thomas W. White

VanWhite

President-GTE Telephone Operations

TWW:lp



GTE Telephone Operations

600 Hidden Ridge, HQE04J17 P.O. Box 152092 Irving, TX 75015-2092 214 718-4935

October 4, 1996

The Honorable Laska Schoenfelder
Commissioner
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission
State Capitol
500 East Capitol
Plerre, South Dakota 57501-5070

Dear Ma. Schoenfelder:

Few Americans know the impact that you and your colleagues will soon have on the price they pay for telephone service, on its quality, and on how soon they may have competitive choices for that service as the Congress intended. As one of the national Joint Board members appointed under the new federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, you will help decide how home telephone service will be kept affordable in a competitive era.

Let's start with a few undeniable facts. Today most basic home telephone service is priced below cost. Competitors are rushing in to target the other services that have been priced too high in order to support home phone lines. To minimize their own investments, those competitors are also eager to use the facilities that today's local telephone companies have installed and maintained. The FCC's interconnection order clearly helps competitors do so, but in the process, guts facilities based competition by requiring LECs to sell their network to competitors at rates far below any reasonable estimate of costs. For now, most "competition" will just be companies like AT&T putting their brand name on someone else's service. And you can look far and wide across rural America and never see a new competitor's truck laying wires, unlike major cities where they are already tripping over each other to hook up lucrative business customers.

These same competitors want you, the Joint Board Commissioners, to decide not to fund the entire amount of support needed to maintain today's affordable rates to home customers. The reason is that new competitors will have to share in the cost of support, even though they don't plan to build any facilities in places that need support. They prefer to "cherry pick" the customers they serve by purchasing our facilities at below-cost rates, while forcing the incumbent LEC to maintain the network and serve the customers in less desirable locales.

The Honorable Laska Schoenfelder October 4, 1996 Page 2

But that's a dangerous scenario because sconer or later rates will be forced to go up sharply, or the incumbent LECs will be unable to continue to provide high-quality service as competitors take away more of the profitable business that has supported this whole system. And the new competitors won't be willing to take any responsibility for that. Indeed, who will give rural America real competitive choices if the price to the customer is far less than cost, and there isn't enough support to make up the difference?

On behalf of GTE, I urge you and your colleagues to make the courageous and responsible choice — to establish a support system that will truly give all customers protection against rate shock, and a chance at real competitive options. Because the politics of telecommunications have become so confrontational, what's put in place now will be hard to fix later. Do it right the first time, and you'll help usher in a new era of which we all can be proud — for all customers, wherever they live.

3

Sincerely.

Thomas W. White

VanWhite

President-GTE Telephone Operations

TWW:lp



GTE Telephone Operations

600 Hidden Ridge, HOE04J17 P.O. Box 152092 Irving, TX 75015-2092 214 718-4935

October 4, 1996

The Honorable Kenneth McClure Commissioner Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360 Truman State Office Building Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Dear Mr. McClure:

Few Americans know the impact that you and your colleagues will soon have on the price they pay for telephone service, on its quality, and on how soon they may have competitive choices for that service as the Congress intended. As one of the national Joint Board members appointed under the new federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, you will help decide how home telephone service will be kept affordable in a competitive era.

Let's start with a few underiable facts. Today most basic home telephone service is priced below cost. Competitors are rushing in to target the other services that have been priced too high in order to support home phone lines. To minimize their own investments, those competitors are also eager to use the facilities that today's local telephone companies have installed and maintained. The FCC's interconnection order clearly helps competitors do so, but in the process, guts facilities based competition by requiring LECs to sell their network to competitors at rates far below any reasonable estimate of costs. For now, most "competition" will just be companies like AT&T putting their brand name on someone else's service. And you can look far and wide across rural America and never see a new competitor's truck laying wires, unlike major cities where they are already tripping over each other to hook up lucrative business customers.

These same competitors want you, the Joint Board Commissioners, to decide not to fund the entire amount of support needed to maintain today's affordable rates to home customers. The reason is that new competitors will have to share in the cost of support, even though they don't plan to build any facilities in places that need support. They prefer to "cherry pick" the customers they serve by purchasing our facilities at below-cost rates, while forcing the incumbent LEC to maintain the network and serve the customers in less desirable locales.

The Honorable Kenneth McClure October 4, 1996 Page 2

But that's a dangerous scenario because sooner or later rates will be forced to go up sharply, or the incumbent LECs will be unable to continue to provide high-quality service as competitors take away more of the profitable business that has supported this whole system. And the new competitors won't be willing to take any responsibility for that. Indeed, who will give rural America real competitive choices if the price to the customer is far less than cost, and there isn't enough support to make up the difference?

On behalf of GTE, I urge you and your colleagues to make the courageous and responsible choice — to establish a support system that will truly give all customers protection against rate shock, and a chance at real competitive options. Because the politics of telecommunications have become so confrontational, what's put in place now will be hard to fix later. Do it right the first time, and you'll help usher in a new era of which we all can be proud — for all customers, wherever they live.

Sincerely,

Von White

Thomas W. White President-GTE Telephone Operations

TWW:lp